IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

BECKLEY DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER JAGGERS,

Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:07-cv-00656

T. R. CRAIG,

v.

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Petitioner's *Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis* [Docket 1] and *Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody* [Docket 2], both filed on October 19, 2007.

By Standing Order [Docket 3] entered on October 19, 2007, this action was referred to the Honorable R. Clarke VanDervort, United States Magistrate Judge, for submission to this Court of proposed findings of fact and recommendation for disposition, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On June 9, 2010, the Magistrate Judge submitted Proposed Findings and Recommendation [Docket 7] wherein it is recommended that this Court dismiss as moot the Petitioner's Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis as well as his Application Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody. Neither party has timely filed objections to the Magistrate Judge's Proposed Findings and Recommendation.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** and incorporates herein the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as contained in the *Proposed Findings and Recommendation*, and **ORDERS**

that the Petitioner's Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis [Docket 1] and Application Under

28 U.S.C. § 2241 for Writ of Habeas Corpus By a Person in State or Federal Custody [Docket 2]

be **DISMISSED** as moot.

The Court has additionally considered whether to grant a certificate of appealability. See 28

U.S.C. § 2253(c). A certificate will not be granted unless there is "a substantial showing of the

denial of a constitutional right." Id. § 2253(c)(2). The standard is satisfied only upon a showing that

reasonable jurists would find that any assessment of the constitutional claims by this Court is

debatable or wrong and that any dispositive procedural ruling is likewise debatable. Miller-El v.

Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336-38 (2003); Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Rose v. Lee,

252 F.3d 676, 683-84 (4th Cir. 2001). The Court concludes that the governing standard is not

satisfied in this instance. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability.

The Court **DIRECTS** the Clerk to send a certified copy of this Order to Magistrate Judge

VanDervort, counsel of record, and any unrepresented party.

ENTER:

July 8, 2010

ÍRENE C. BERGER, JÚDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

2